A New Synonym and New Species Name in the Southeast Asian Anopheles hyrcanus Complex

bу

Bruce A. Harrison², John E. Scanlon³ and John A. Reid⁴

ABSTRACT

Evidence is presented that the type of Anopheles indiensis Theobald 1901, is lost or non-extant, with no other specimens known; and, that indiensis sensu Reid (1953, 1968) does not occur in Madras, India, the type locality of indiensis Theobald. Accordingly, indiensis Theobald is synonymized under nigerrimus Giles 1900, and nitidus n. sp., is described for indiensis of Reid.

INTRODUCTION

A recent examination of Southeast Asian Anopheles type-specimens in the British Museum (Natural History), coupled with recent publications on mosquito collections in India, have prompted the authors to re-examine the status of the name, Anopheles indiensis Theobald 1901, and the species currently identified with this name. The study involved a complete review of the literature and an examination of all indiensis in the U. S. National Museum (Natural History) (USNM) and the British Museum (Natural History) (BMNH).

DISCUSSION

There has been considerable confusion surrounding the type-specimen of indiensis. Theobald (1901a) described indiensis as a subspecies of Anopheles sinensis Wiedemann, from specimens sent to him by Capt. Cornwall from Madras, India; however, there are no specimens so labeled in the BMNH. Christophers (1924) reported a female in the BMNH under the heading indiensis, with no locality label and bearing the label, "Anopheles annularis var. alboanulus (Type) Theobald." Reid (1953) interpreted this specimen as the type of indiensis and presented a review of the history surrounding this

¹This work was supported, in part, by Research Contract No. DA-49-193-MD-2672 from the U. S. Army Medical Research and Development Command, Office of the Surgeon General, Washington, D. C. This paper is contribution number 1221 from the Army Research Program on Malaria.

²Department of Entomology, Walter Reed Army Institute of Research, Washington, D. C. 20012.

³School of Public Health, University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, P. O. Box 20186, Houston, Texas 77025.

⁴⁴ Glenwood, Dorking, Surrey RH5 4BY, England.

maintaining the data needed, and c including suggestions for reducing	lection of information is estimated to ompleting and reviewing the collect this burden, to Washington Headqu uld be aware that notwithstanding ar DMB control number.	ion of information. Send comments arters Services, Directorate for Info	regarding this burden estimate rmation Operations and Reports	or any other aspect of the s, 1215 Jefferson Davis	nis collection of information, Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington	
1. REPORT DATE 1973	2 DEDORT TYPE			3. DATES COVERED 00-00-1973 to 00-00-1973		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE	5a. CONTRACT NUMBER					
A New Synonym and New Species Name in the Southeast Asian Anopheles hyrcanus Complex				5b. GRANT NUMBER		
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER		
				5e. TASK NUMBER		
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Walter Reed Army Institute of Research, Department of Entomology, Washington, DC, 20012				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)		
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)		
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAIL Approved for publ	LABILITY STATEMENT ic release; distributi	on unlimited				
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NO	OTES					
14. ABSTRACT see reprot						
15. SUBJECT TERMS						
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON	
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	Same as Report (SAR)	6		

Report Documentation Page

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

female, summing up this review by saying, "There seems no doubt, therefore, that the specimen labelled alboanulus is the type of indiensis Theo., and I shall follow Christophers (1924) and Yamada (1924) in treating it as such." Our re-examination of this female confirms that it possesses only the above identification label written by Theobald, and no locality label. Therefore, there is no way it can be associated definitely with Theobald's indiensis, or even Madras, India. In fact, an examination of this problem using the available facts and recent literature strongly suggests the specimen has no connection with Theobald's indiensis. Theobald (1901) accorded indiensis and annularis Van der Wulp equal status as subspecies of sinensis and the descriptions and illustrations reflect this. Theobald (1903) readily admitted that he was in error in 1901 to consider annularis (subgenus Cellia) as closely related to sinensis; however, this does not alter the fact that he made this error of recognition prior to and in 1901. Theobald (1901a) went to some length to describe what he considered annularis based on specimens from: Taipang [sic], Perak, Malaya (as "Straits Settlements"), L. Wray, 21.11 and 22. 12. 1899; and Madras, India (D. O'C. Murphy, 99). Based on his discussion of leg variations it is quite obvious he was dealing with at least two currently recognized species. On page 144 under the heading, "Variability of the Subspecies" he wrote as follows, "The large series sent by Mr. Wray, from Taipang, shows considerable variation both in size and markings, one distinct variety being easily separated from them; this I call variety A, in which the bands of the hind tarsi involve both sides of the joint." We believe that the specimen in the BMNH labeled annularis var. alboanulus is a specimen of Theobald's annularis var. A, which he named alboanulus for the white bands on the legs. Giles (1904, as alboannulatus, not alboannulus of Stone et al. 1959) pointed out that this is a manuscript name that Theobald placed on certain specimens in the BMNH. Further, the origin and identity of the specimen labeled annularis var. alboanulus with the species currently called indiensis, is strongly supported by the abundance of indiensis in Malaya (Reid 1968) and 7 females in the BMNH identified as indiensis by Reid (1953) from Taiping, 1899 (L. Wray), probably the same series of specimens that Theobald's alboanulus came from. Therefore, we now feel there is no basis for considering this specimen the type of indiensis, although there is no doubt it conforms to indiensis sensu Reid (1953, 1968).

Our above contentions are supported by the obvious absence of specimens of the species currently called indiensis from central and southern India. Disregarding the specimen labeled annularis var. alboanulus. there are no other specimens of indiensis in the USNM or BMNH from west of Assam (Northeast India). At least 3 other members of the Southeast Asian hyrcanus complex have their western-most confirmed records from Assam, which seems to be an area of overlap between the Indian and Southeast Asian faunal subregions. Reid et al. (1962) did not find indiensis in specimens from Madras. Further support comes from Reuben (1971a, 1971b), who summarized mosquito collections made in Madras (indiensis type locality) between 1958-1963. These collections resulted in the capture of 10,970 specimens of nigerimus Giles 1900 and peditaeniatus (Leicester) 1908, but, not a single specimen of indiensis. This study involved 13,431 trap nights from bullock and chicken-bait traps and light trap collections, also 6,131 man-hours expended on indoor and outdoor resting collections. Collections on Sri Lanka (Ceylon) by Smithsonian Institution personnel between 1970-1973 also failed to find indiensis, although nigerrimus and peditaeniatus were commonly collected.

It also seems strange that Theobald (1901b) did not acknowledge Capt. Cornwall or his specimens (including the indiensis type), in his "List of the collections of mosquitoes received at the British Museum up to the present time,...", when all the other listed contributors were acknowledged. The only reason we can suggest for this, other than oversight, is that Cornwall's specimens were a loan rather than a gift to the BMNH. This contention is supported by Giles (1902) who said, "I have not seen the indiensis form, as the single specimen from which it is described by Mr. Theobald was a loan from a private collection,...." There are no references to a Capt. Cornwall or indiensis in the Theobald correspondence now in the BMNH (P. F. Mattingly, personal communication).

SYNONYMY

Apparently, the type of indiensis is now lost or non-extant, and without a type for comparison we have no way of knowing what species Theobald was describing from Madras. The absence of the species currently called indiensis from the Indian subregion west of Assam, presents a problem. Theobald's description, illustration and association of indiensis with sinensis definitely suggests it is a member of the Southeast Asian hyrcanus complex. Current knowledge indicates only two species of this complex, nigerrimus and peditaeniatus, in Madras. Therefore, indiensis (1901) is either a synonym of nigerrimus (1900), or peditaeniatus (1908) is a synonym of indiensis.

Theobald's (1901a) original description of indiensis presented only 3 characters: the base of the first submarginal cell being close to the first costal (subcostal) spot; the cross veins separate; and the presence of pale fringe scales at the tip of Cu2. Of these, only the last named is now known to have any stability in the Southeast Asian hyrcanus complex. Southeast Asian nigerrimus frequently have a fringe spot at Cu2, while peditaeniatus from that subregion only infrequently have this character. An examination of Indian specimens, however, reveals it is uncommon on both of these species (1 of 44 nigerrimus: 1 of 52 peditaeniatus). Consequently, none of Theobald's characters help to identify this species. This situation leaves us with 3 courses of action. If we consider indiensis a nomen dubium because no type or specimens are known and the species cannot be identified by the description, then the name will possibly become lost or forgotten during future work. To synonymize peditaeniatus under indiensis would cause another major name change, for peditaeniatus is one of the most common and widely distributed Anopheles in the Orient. However, if we synonymize indiensis under nigerrimus no other name is changed and indiensis remains available in case another species of the hyrcanus complex is discovered in Madras. We have chosen the last course of action. The currently recognized synonyms of nigerrimus are:

Anopheles (Anopheles) nigerrimus Giles

Anopheles nigerrimus Giles 1900, Handb. Gnats or Mosq., p. 162. Anopheles sinensis indiensis Theobald 1901, Monogr. Culicidae 1: 145. (REASSIGNED AS SYNONYM).

Anopheles bentleyi Bentley 1902. Indian Med. Gaz. 37: 15.

Anopheles minutus Theobald 1903, Monogr. Culicidae 3: 91.

Anopheles hyrcanus var. williamsoni Baisas and Hu 1936, Philipp. Mon. Bull. Bur. Hlth. 16: 222.

Anopheles venhuisi Bonne-Wepster 1951, Docum. Neerl. Indones. Morb. Trop. 3: 284.

This is not the first time indiensis has been considered a synonym of nigerrimus. Both indiensis and peditaeniatus were listed as synonyms of An. hyrcanus var. nigerrimus by Edwards (1932) and they were usually regarded in this status until Reid (1953) elevated both to full species.

NEW SPECIES

The above evidence shows that the anopheline species in Southeast Asia previously associated with the name indiensis has no connection with that name. Consequently, it is now necessary to rename this species. The nomen nudum, alboannulatus Giles (1904), published as a Theobald manuscript name, was not accompanied by a sufficient indication (ICZN Code) to become a valid name. The following name for this species was selected because of its bright elegant wing pattern.

Anopheles (Anopheles) nitidus New Species

DESCRIPTION. This species is easily recognized in the adult stage by scattered pale scales on the basal third of the costa; distinct eye spots on the scutum, a bright sharply defined wing pattern, a patch of dark scales on the humeral cross vein and broad pale bands crossing the joints on the hind tarsal segments. Since all stages have been described by Reid (1953, 1968 as indiensis) they are not redescribed here.

TYPE-DATA. The holotype female has been selected from Malayan plesiotypes for indiensis that Reid (1953) placed in the BMNH. This female is in excellent condition, has associated immature skins on a slide and has the following label data: (1st label; - "Selangor, 5th m. Klang Rd., 7-11-1950, J. A. Reid"; (2nd label) - "bred in laboratory from known mother", and rearing number "28/9"; and (3rd label) - is Reid's indiensis identification label. The male allotype, with associated immature skins on a slide, is also in the BMNH and has the same label data as the holotype, except the rearing number is "28/10." Two male and 5 female paratypes from Selangor, Malaysia, are here designated and deposited in the USNM. One male with genitalia intact, associated immature skins on a slide and rearing number "28/8" is from the same collection as the holotype and allotype. One female with associated immature skins on a slide and rearing number "454/68" is from "Kepong." One male with genitalia intact and collection number "232" is from "Ampang Rd., K. L." Two females with collection number "16984" are from "Sunger Tua." While the 5 paratypes just noted were collected by Reid between 1941 - 1951, the remaining 2 female paratypes have collection number "804" and were collected in "Ulu Langat" in 1967 by personnel from the University of Malaysia.

DISTRIBUTION. Based on specimens examined, nitidus occurs in CAMBODIA, INDIA (Assam), INDONESIA (Sumatra), MALAYSIA (West and East), NORTH VIETNAM, SOUTH VIETNAM and THAILAND. Khin-Maung-Kyi (1971) recorded specimens of indiensis from BURMA, and based on his brief diagnosis they are probably nitidus. Other recent publications referring to indiensis in Southeast Asia and referencing Reid's papers, probably refer to nitidus.

BIOLOGY. Immatures of *nitidus* apparently require cool quiescent water, emergent and/or floating vegetation and partial shade, requirements which are filled by deep rice fields, swamps, marshes and similar habitats. Adult females are basically zoophilic and there is no evidence that incriminates *nitidus* as a natural vector of human pathogens.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge the assistance of Dr. Peter F. Mattingly, Department of Entomology, British Museum (Natural History), during our search for type-specimens, and Dr. Curtis W. Sabrosky, Systematic Entomology Laboratory (USDA), Smithsonian Institution, for his advice. Special appreciation is also due Mr. E. L. Peyton, Southeast Asia Mosquito Project, and LTC Bruce F. Eldridge, MAJ John F. Reinert and Dr. Ronald A. Ward, Department of Entomology, Walter Reed Army Institute of Research, for critically reviewing the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Christophers, S. R. 1924. Provisional list and reference catalogue of the Anophelini. Indian Med. Res. Mem. 3: 1-105.
- Edwards, F. W. 1932. Genera Insec. Diptera, Fam. Culicidae. Fasc. 194. Desmet-Verteneuil, Brussels. 258 pp.
- Giles, G. M. 1902. A handbook of the gnats or mosquitoes. John Bale, Sons & Danielsson, Ltd. London. 2nd Ed. 530 pp.
- Giles, G. M. 1904. A revision of the Anophelinae. Supplement I to hand-book, 2nd Ed. John Bales, Sons & Danielsson, Ltd. London. 48 pp.
- Khin-Maung-Kyi 1971. The anopheline mosquitoes of Burma. I. Subgenus Anopheles Meigen, Series Anopheles and Myzorhynchus Edwards. Un. Burma J. Life Sci. (Rangoon) 4: 281-296.
- Reid, J. A. 1953. The Anopheles hyrcanus group in South-East Asia (Diptera: Culicidae). Bull. Ent. Res. 44: 5-76.
- Reid, J. A. 1968. Anopheline mosquitoes of Malaya and Borneo. Stud. Inst. Med. Res. Malaysia 31: 1-520.
- Reid, J. A., T. Wilson and A. Ganapathipillai. 1962. Studies on filariasis in Malaya. The mosquito vectors of periodic *Brugia malayi* in North-West Malaya. Ann. Trop. Med. Parasit. 56: 323-336.

- Reuben, R. 1971a. Studies on the mosquitoes of North Arcot District, Madras State, India. Part 1. Seasonal densities. J. Med. Ent. 8: 119-126.
- Reuben, R. 1971b. Studies on the mosquitoes of North Arcot District, Madras State, India. Part 2. Biting cycles and behavior on human and bovine baits at two villages. J. Med. Ent. 8: 127-134.
- Stone, A., K. L. Knight and H. Starcke. 1959. A synoptic catalog of the mosquitoes of the World (Diptera, Culicidae). Thomas Say Found., Ent. Soc. Amer. 6: 1-358.
- Theobald, F. V. 1901a. Monograph of the Culicidae. Brit. Mus. (Nat. Hist.), London. Vol. I, 424 pp.
- Theobald, F. V. 1901b. Monograph of the Culicidae. Brit. Mus. (Nat. Hist.), London. Vol. II, 391 pp.
- Theobald, F. V. 1903. Monograph of the Culicidae. Brit. Mus. (Nat. Hist.), London. Vol. III, 359 pp.
- Yamada, S. 1924. A revision of the adult anopheline mosquitoes of Japan. Part I. Systematic descriptions, their habits and their relations to human diseases together with an account of 3 new species. Sci. Rep. Govt. Inst. Infect. Dis. (Tokyo) 3: 215-241.